05/21/2015 09:18 12:39:14 p.m. 05-20-2015 3

May. 20. 2015 12:34PM

No. 4063

Summons (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

WILLIAM H. COSBY, JR., an individual and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE): JANICE DICKINSON, an individual

2136257532

SUM-100

04/06

POR DOURT USE ONLY (1000 PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles

MAY 2 0 2015

Sherri R. Opner, Executive Officer/Clerk Dawn Alexander

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your boing heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a willen response at this court and have a copy served on the pishulf. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to have your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courting.co.gov/xeithelp), your county law library, or the courtings nestest you, if you cannot pay the filing ide, ask the court dark for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on fine, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property mey be taken without further warning from the court.

mey be taken without ruriner warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an atterney right away. If you do not know an atterney, you may want to call an atterney referred service. If you cannot afford an atterney, you may be eligible for tree legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web alls (www.lewhelpcalifornis.org), the California Court Online Sail-Help Canter (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/sefirefp), or by contecting your local court or county ber association. NOTE: The court has a stautory iten for warved fees and costs on any selliement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's firm must be paid before the court will demisse the case. [AVISOI to han demandedo. Si no responde deniro de 30 dies, is corte puede deckir on au contra sin escucher su verskin. Les is información e contratation.

Tiene 30 DIAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papetes lagales para presentar una respuesta por excrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carte o una llemada telefónica no lo prolegen. Su respuesta por excrito tiene que estar en formelo legal correcto al desse que procesen au caso en la corte. Es posible que haye un formulario que ustad pueda usar pere su respuesta. Pueda encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (MAM, aucorta.ca.gov), en la bíblioleca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le queda más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuola de presentación, pida el secreterio de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuolas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte la podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin més edvertencia.

Hey chos requisitos legeles. Es recomendable que lieme e un ebogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede liamar e un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puade pager a un abogado, es posible que cumpia con los requisitos para oblener servidos legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales gratuitos de jucto. Puede encontre estos grupos sin fines de jucto en el altio wab de California Legal Services, (www.levhalpcalifornia.org), en el Cantro de Ayudo de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorta.ca.gov) o poniándosa en contacto con la corta a el calgido de abogados locales. AVISO: For tey, le corte tiene derecho a reciemar les cuotes y os costos aventos por imponer un gravamen sobre collegio de abogados locales. AVISO: For tey, le corte tiene derecho a reciemar les cuotes y os costos aventos por imponer un gravamen sobre collegio de abogados locales. AVISO: For tey, le corte tiene derecho a reciemar les cuotes y os costos aventos por imponer un gravamen sobre outriquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuardo o una concesión de erbitraje en un caso de cierecito civil. Tiene que pagar el gravaman de la corta antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y dirección de la code es): Central District 111 N. Hill Street

Los Angeles, CA 90012

CASE NUMBER: CASE ROLLING ON CALOUR BC 5 8 0 9 0 9

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is:
(El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no liene abogado, es): The Bloom Firm, 20700 Ventura Blvd., Suite 301, Woodland Hills, CA 91364, Phone: (818) 914-7314 SHERRI R, CARTER

ATE;	May	20,	2015	A143

Clerk, by (Secretario)

, Dapuly (Adjunto)

Page 1 of 1

(For proof of service of this summons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-010).) (Para prueba de entrega de esta citation use el termularlo Proof of Service of Summone, (POS-010)). NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served

(SEAL)		
	NOGEL	Nets.
فبمحر	OS KALLES	4 ₀
15/1		
医位		
136		M 5
100		
1 1/2/		10V
77/4	041700 1	Lillian
no3	OJIIVI A	THE STATE OF THE S

1,	as an individual defendant.
2,	as the person sued under the ficultous name of (specify):

	on behalf	of	(apecliy):

under. |

CCP 416.10 (corporation)
CCP 416.20 (defund corporation)

CCP 416.60	(minar)
CCP 416.70	(conservatee)
	4 . 11

	OOL 4 (0'Ve feetation anthornand	L
	CCP 416.40 (association or partnership)	
7	alhae (enaclful:	

 001	110110	(40110411-	-7
		(authorized	

	duid, [0], out, //.
4.	by paraonal delivery on (dale)

12:39:14 p.m. 05-10-2015 3

May. 20, 2015 12:35PM

No. 4063 P. 3

•		CM-010
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY / Morro, State Box of Line Bloom, Esq. SBN: 158458	umber, and addrass):	FOR COURT USE ONLY
Nadia Taghizadeh, Esq. SBN: 158458 Nadia Taghizadeh, Esq. SBN: 259328		en er
1 The Bloom Ricm	YOU. OA 01464	Superior Court of California
20700 Ventura Blyd., Suite 301, Woodland текернонено.: (818) 914-7314	FAXNO: (866) 852-5666	County of Los Angeles
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff, Janice Dicki	uson	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LO	s Angeles	MAY 2 0 2015
STREET ADDRESS: 111 N. Hill Street		
MARLING ADDRESS: SAMIC		Sherri R. Oerter, Executive Officer/Clerk
CHYANDZIP CODE LOS Angeles, CA 900	12	By Daum Man Deputy Dawn Alexander
BRANCHNAME: Contral District		- Dates Alexande
CASE NAME: Janice Dickinson v. William H. Cosb	or Te	
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	CASENUMBER: BC 5 8:0 9 0 9
United Limited		8690090
(Amount (Amount	Counter Joinder	JUDGE:
demanded demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defendan	DEPT
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	
Items 1-6 belo	ow must be completed (see instructions on	iage 2).
1. Check one box below for the case type that	(AND 144)	visionally Complex Civil Litigation
Auto Tort	Breach of contract/warranty (06) (Ca	f. Rules of Court, rules 3.400-3.403)
Auto (22) Uninsured molorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	(69) noilelugen ebirnTVeurlünA
Other PIPD(WD (Paraonal Injury/Property	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)
Damage/Wrongful Doalh) Tort	(nsuratios coverage (18)	Mass lon (40)
Ashasios (04)	Other contract (37)	Securides Utigation (28)
Product liability (24)	Real Property	_ Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
Medical malpractice (46)	Eminant domain/inverse condemnation (14)	Insurance coverage dalms arising from the above listed provisionally complex case
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	Wrongful eviction (33)	types (41)
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Other mel among the (26)	forcement of Judgment
Business tor/unitair business practice (07)	Unlawful Delainer	Enforcement of judgment (20)
Civil rights (08) Defension (13)		cellaneous Civil Compisint
Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	RICO (27)
Intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
Professional negligence (25)	Mis . Weiver I lelofbut	cellaneoue Civil Petition
Diher non-PI/PD/WD (orl (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)
Employment	Pellilon re: erbitration award (11)	Other polition (not specified above) (43)
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)	to a the second second wast the
2. This case is \(\sqrt{is not comp} \)	olex under rule 3,400 of the California Rules	of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
factors requiring exceptional judicial mana a. Large number of separately repres	sented parties d. Large number of	Researing
		h related actions pending in one of more court
b. Extensive motion practice raising issues that will be time-consuming		, states, or countries, or in a federal court
o. Substantial emount of documents	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	judgment judiciai supervision
		laratory or injunctive relief c. punlitve
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a.	NOTIBILITY DE MOTITION DE LA COMPANION DE LA C	algebra in injurious version (Carry States)
4. Number of causes of action (specify): 3 5. This case	s action a ult.	
5. This caseis is not a class.	nd serve a notice of related case. (You me	y use form CM-016,)
	III A A I A I A I A I A I A I A I A I A	D1
Date: May 20, 2015	b 1,11	MY CON
Lisa Bloom, Esq.		ATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)
	NOTICE	Charant coal) define evens or coass filed
Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the under the Probate Code, Family Code, or the probate Code, the probate	irst paper filed in the action of processing (Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules	of Court, rule 3.220.) Fallure to file may result
in aprictions.	as should assert and but book agost talk	
• If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et	eeq. of the California Rules of Court, you m	just serve a copy of this cover sheet on all
Unless this is a collections case under rule	3'14A OLS COMPLEX COSO' (192 cose) ellect	Fage 1 01
Form Adopted for Handstoy (ke	CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Cal Rules of Court, rules 2.30, 3.220, 3.400-3.403, 3.740

INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiff's designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex.

```
Auto Tort
```

Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the case involves an uninsured motorist claim subject to arbitration, check this item instead of Auto)

Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/ Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort

Asbestos (04) Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos Personal Injury/ Wrongful Death

Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental) (24) Medical Malpractice (45)

Medical Malpractice-

Physicians & Surgeons Other Professional Health Care Malpractice

Other PI/PD/WD (23)

Premises Liability (e.g., sllp

and fall)

Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD (e.g., assault, vandalism)

Intentional Infliction of **Emotional Distress**

Negligent Infliction of **Emotional Distress**

Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort

Business Tort/Unfair Business Practice (07)

Other PI/PD/WD

Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination, false arrest) (not civil

Defamation (e.g., slander, libel)

(13)

Fraud (16) Intellectual Property (19) Professional Negligence (25)

harassment) (08)

Legal Malpractice Other Professional Malpractice

(not medical or legal)
Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)

Employment

Wrongful Termination (36) Other Employment (15)

CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES

Contract Breach of Contract/Warranty (06)

Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction) Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller

Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence) Negligent Breach of Contract/

Warranty

Other Breach of Contract/Warranty Collections (e.g., money owed, open

book accounts) (09)

Collection Case-Seller Plaintiff Other Promissory Note/Collections Case

Insurance Coverage (not provisionally

complex) (18) Auto Subrogation Other Coverage

Other Contract (37) Contractual Fraud

Other Contract Dispute

Real Property

Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)

Wrongful Eviction (33)

Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26) Writ of Possession of Real Property

Mortgage Foreclosure

Quiet Title

Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, or

foreclosure)

Unlawful Detainer

Commercial (31)

Residential (32)

Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise. report as Commercial or Residential)

Judicial Review

Asset Forfeiture (05)

Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11)

Writ of Mandate (02)
Writ-Administrative Mandamus Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court

Case Matter

Writ-Other Limited Court Case

Review

Other Judicial Review (39) Review of Health Officer Order

Notice of Appeal-Labor Commissioner Appeals Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3.400-3.403)

Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03) Construction Defect (10)

Claims Involving Mass Tort (40) Securities Litigation (28)

Environmental/Toxic Tort (30)

Insurance Coverage Claims

(arising from provisionally complex case type listed above) (41)

Enforcement of Judgment

Enforcement of Judgment (20) Abstract of Judgment (Out of

County) Confession of Judament (non-

domestic relations) Sister State Judgment Administrative Agency Award

(not unpaid taxes) Petition/Certification of Entry of

Judgment on Unpaid Taxes Other Enforcement of Judgment Case

Miscellaneous Civil Complaint RICO (27)

Other Complaint (not specified above) (42)

Declaratory Relief Only Injunctive Relief Only (non-

harassment)

Mechanics Lien Other Commercial Complaint

Case (non-tort/non-complex) Other Civil Complaint

(non-tort/non-complex)

Miscellaneous Civil Petition Partnership and Corporate

Governance (21) Other Petition (not specified

above) (43) Civil Harassment

Workplace Violence Elder/Dependent Adult

Abuse Election Contest

Petition for Name Change

Petition for Relief From Late Claim

Other Civil Petition

12:89:14 p.m. 03-20-2015 5

May. 20. 2015 12:36PM

No. 4063 P. 5

анояттите Janice Dickinson v. William H. Cosby, Jr.	Case Huxidea	BC 5 8 0 9 0 9

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION (CERTIFICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE LOCATION)

This form is required pursuant to Local Rule 2.0 in all new civil case filings in the Los Angeles Superior Court. Item |. Check the types of hearing and fill in the estimated length of hearing expected for this case: JURY TRIAL? YES CLASS ACTION? YES LIMITED CASE? YES TIME ESTIMATED FOR TRIAL 5-7 HOURS! (2) DAYS Item II. Indicate the correct district and courthouse location (4 steps - If you checked "Limited Case", skip to Item III, Pg. 4): Step 1: After first completing the Civil Case Cover Sheet form, find the main Civil Case Cover Sheet heading for your case in the left margin below, and, to the right in Column A, the Civil Case Cover Sheet case type you selected.

Step 2: Check one Superior Court type of action in Column B below which best describes the nature of this case.

Step 3: In Column C, circle the reason for the court location choice that applies to the type of action you have checked. For any exception to the court location, see Local Rule 2.0.

Applicable Ressons for Choosing Courthouse Location (see Column C below)

- 1. Class actions must be filed in the Stanley Mosk Courthouse, central district.
 2. May be filed in central (other county, or no bodily injury/properly damage).
 3. Location where cause of action arose.
 4. Location where bodily injury, death or damage occurred.
 5. Location where performance required or defendant resides.

- 6. Location of property or permanently garaged vehicle.
 7. Location where petitioner resides.
 8. Location where to defendant/respondent functions wholly.
 9. Location where one or more of the parties reside.
 10. Location of Labor Commissioner Office

Step 4: Fill in the information requested on page 4 in Item III; complete Item IV. Sign the declaration.

A CMI Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
Aulo (22)	☐ A7100 Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 2., 4.
Uninsured Molorist (46)	☐ A7110 Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death ~ Uninsured Molorlet	1., 2., 4.
Asbeslos (04)	A6070 Asbestos Property Demage A7221 Asbestos - Personal Injury/Wrongful Death	2. 2.
Product Liability (24)	A7260 Product Liability (not ashestos or foxic/environmental)	1,, 2,, 3,, 4,, 6,
 Medical Malpractice (45)	☐ A7210 Medical Maipractice - Physicians & Surgeons ☐ A7240 Other Professional Health Care Maipractice	1., 4. 1., 4.
Other Personal Injury Property Damage Wrongful Death (23)	A7250 Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall) A7230 Intentional Bodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., assault, vandalism, etc.) A7270 Intentional Indiction of Emotional Distress A7220 Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 4. 1., 4. 1., 3. 1., 4.

ALEO Test

SHORT TITLE: Janice Dickinson v. William H. Cosby, Jr.

CASE NUMBER

Non-Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above		
	Business Tort (07)	☐ A6029 Other Commercial/Business Tort (not fraud/breach of contract)	1., 3.		
	Civil Rights (08)	☐ A6005 Civil Rights/Discrimination	1., 2., 3.		
	Defamation (13)	☑ A6010 Defamation (slander/libel)	1.(2.)3.)		
	Fraud (16)	☐ A6013 Fraud (no contract)	1., 2., 3.		
	Professional Negligence (25)	☐ A6017 Legal Malpractice ☐ A6050 Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)	1., 2., 3. 1., 2., 3.		
	Other (35)	□ A6025 Other Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage tort	2.,3.		
	Wrongful Termination (36)	☐ A6037 Wrongful Termination	1., 2., 3.		
	Other Employment (15)	□ A6024 Other Employment Complaint Case □ A6109 Labor Commissioner Appeals	1., 2., 3. 10.		
Contract	Breach of Contract/ Warranty (06) (not insurance)	 □ A6004 Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction) □ A6008 Contract/Warranty Breach -Seller Plaintiff (no fraud/negligence) □ A6019 Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty (no fraud) □ A6028 Other Breach of Contract/Warranty (not fraud or negligence) 	2., 5. 2., 5. 1., 2., 5. 1., 2., 5.		
	Collections (09)	□ A6002 Collections Case-Seller Plaintiff □ A6012 Other Promissory Note/Collections Case	2., 5., 6. 2., 5.		
	Insurance Coverage (18)	☐ A6015 Insurance Coverage (not complex)	1., 2., 5., 8.		
	Other Contract (37)	☐ A6009 Contractual Fraud ☐ A6031 Tortious Interference ☐ A6027 Other Contract Dispute(not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence)	1., 2., 3., 5. 1., 2., 3., 5. 1., 2., 3., 8.		
	Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)	☐ A7300 Eminent Domain/Condemnation Number of parcels	2.		
perty	Wrongful Eviction (33)	☐ A6023 Wrongful Eviction Case	2., 6.		
Real Property	Other Real Property (26)	 □ A6018 Mortgage Foreclosure □ A6032 Quiet Title □ A6060 Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foreclosure) 	2., 6. 2., 6. 2., 6.		
Unlawful Detainer	Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (31)	☐ A6021 Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.		
	Unlawful Detainer-Residential (32)	☐ A6020 Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.		
	Unlawful Detainer- Post-Foreclosure (34)	☐ A6020FUnlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclosure	2., 6.		
	Unlawful Detainer-Drugs (38)	☐ A6022 Unlawful Detainer-Drugs	2., 6.		

SHORTTITLE: Janice Dickinson v. William H. Cosby, Jr.

CASE NUMBER

	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
	Asset Forfeiture (05)	☐ A6108 Asset Forfeiture Case	2., 6.
Provisionally Complex Litigation Judicial Review	Petition re Arbitration (11)	☐ A6115 Petition to Compel/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration	2., 5.
	Writ of Mandate (02)	□ A6151 Writ - Administrative Mandamus □ A6152 Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter □ A6153 Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review	2., 8. 2. 2.
	Other Judicial Review (39)	☐ A6150 Other Writ /Judicial Review	2., 8.
	Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)	☐ A6003 Antitrust/Trade Regulation	1., 2., 8.
	Construction Defect (10)	☐ A6007 Construction Defect	1., 2., 3.
	Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)	☐ A6006 Claims Involving Mass Tort	1., 2., 8.
	Securities Litigation (28)	□ A6035 Securities Litigation Case	1., 2., 8.
	Toxic Tort Environmental (30)	☐ A6036 Toxic Tort/Environmental	1., 2., 3., 8.
	Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41)	☐ A6014 Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex case only)	1., 2., 5., 8.
Enforcement of Judgment	Enforcement of Judgment (20)	 □ A6141 Sister State Judgment □ A6160 Abstract of Judgment □ A6107 Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations) □ A6140 Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) □ A6114 Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Tax □ A6112 Other Enforcement of Judgment Case 	2., 9. 2., 6. 2., 9. 2., 8. 2., 8. 2., 8., 9.
. so	RICO (27)	☐ A6033 Racketeering (RICO) Case	1., 2., 8.
Miscellaneous Civil Complaints	Other Complaints (Not Specified Above) (42)	 □ A6030 Declaratory Relief Only □ A6040 Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/harassment) □ A6011 Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex) □ A6000 Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex) 	1., 2., 8. 2., 8. 1., 2., 8. 1., 2., 8.
Miscellaneous Civil Petitions	Partnership Corporation Governance (21)	☐ A6113 Partnership and Corporate Governance Case	2., 8.
	Other Petitions (Not Specified Above) (43)	□ A6121 Civil Harassment □ A6123 Workplace Harassment □ A6124 Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case □ A6190 Election Contest □ A6110 Petition for Change of Name □ A6170 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law	2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2. 2., 7. 2., 3., 4., 8. 2., 9.
		☐ A6100 Other Civil Petition	۵,, ۵,

REASON: Check the appropriate boxes for the numbers shown under Column C for the type of action that you have selected for this case.			ADDRESS: Los Angeles, California c/o The Bloom Firm 20700 Ventura Blvd., Suite 301 Woodland Hills, CA 91364
	□5. □6. □7. □8. [□9. □10. zip code:	
CITY: Los Angeles	CA CA	90012	

CASE NUMBER

PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS COMPLETED AND READY TO BE FILED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY COMMENCE YOUR NEW COURT CASE:

1. Original Complaint or Petition.

SHORT TITLE:

Rule 2.0, subds. (b), (c) and (d)].

Dated: May 20, 2015

- 2. If filing a Complaint, a completed Summons form for issuance by the Clerk.
- 3. Civil Case Cover Sheet, Judicial Council form CM-010.

India Dialingan y William H. Cooky Ir

- 4. Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum and Statement of Location form, LACIV 109, LASC Approved 03-04 (Rev. 03/11).
- 5. Payment in full of the filing fee, unless fees have been waived.
- 6. A signed order appointing the Guardian ad Litem, Judicial Council form CIV-010, if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 18 years of age will be required by Court in order to issue a summons.
- 7. Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum must be served along with the summons and complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.

- 1. For four decades, Bill Cosby drugged and raped women. Few came forward growth because of his immense fame, money and power. Those who did had their reputations smeared by his lawyers, publicists and spin doctors, further scaring other victims from speaking out a spin doctors.
- 2. Janice Dickinson, like over three dozen other Cosby victims, recently publicly disclosed that she was drugged and raped by Bill Cosby. In retaliation, Cosby, through an attorney, publicly branded her a liar and called her rape disclosure a lie with the intent and deffect of revictimizing her and destroying the professional reputation she's epartile cades:

24

25

26

27

28

4.

5.

herein occurred in this county.

Ms. Dickinson brings this action for defamation and related claims. 3.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

of and does business in the State of California, and owns real property in the State of California.

The Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendant because he is a resident

Venue is proper in this county because the Defendant resides in this county,

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

PARTIES

owns real property in this county and does business in this county, and the injuries alleged

- Plaintiff JANICE DICKINSON ("Ms. Dickinson") is an individual who resides 6. in the County of Los Angeles, California.
- Ms. Dickinson is an internationally known fashion model, photographer and 7. author, often called "the world's first supermodel." She has been on the cover of Cosmo, Harper's Bazaar, Marie Claire, Playboy and many other magazines. Ms. Dickinson appeared on the cover of prestigious Vogue magazine (both American and international editions) 37 times, and she appeared on the cover of Elle magazine seven times in a row. She has been the face of ad campaigns for many products, including Revlon, Alberto VO5, Balmain, Obao, Christian Dior, Clairol, Hush Puppies, Orbit gum, Max Factor, Virginia Slims, and Cutex.
- More recently, Ms. Dickinson has become a successful television star on many 8. reality shows, including her own, The Janice Dickinson Modeling Agency, which ran for four seasons. She's also the author of three books chronicling her life as a supermodel, and offering lighthearted dating advice to single women. As a result of her decades of hard work Ms. Dickinson has enjoyed continued success across multiple entertainment platforms, though most models' careers are finished after a few years. A major component of her popularity and marketability on reality television and in publishing is Ms. Dickinson's reputation for her colorful, raw, sometimes loud, sometimes vulnerable, but at all times honest "tell-it-like-it-is" personality.
 - Defendant WILLIAM H. COSBY, JR. ("Defendant Cosby"), owns residential 9.

property in the county of Los Angeles, California and/or does business in the State of California.

- 10. Defendant Cosby is an internationally known comedian, actor and author. He has been publicly accused of sexual assault by over forty women spanning four decades and across the United States. Most of them also allege that he surreptitiously drugged them into a state of unconsciousness prior to sexually assaulting them.
- 11. The true names and capacities, whether corporate, associate, individual or otherwise of defendants DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, are unknown to plaintiff, who therefore sues said defendants by such fictitious names. Each of the defendants designated herein as a DOE is legally responsible in some manner for the events and happenings herein referred to and caused injuries and damages proximately thereby to plaintiff, as herein alleged. Plaintiff will seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint to show their names and capacities when the same have been ascertained.
- 12. At all times mentioned in this complaint, unless otherwise alleged, each defendant was the agent, partner, or employee of every other defendant, and in doing the acts alleged in this complaint, was acting within the course, scope and authority of that agency, partnership, or employment, and with the knowledge and consent of each of the other defendants.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

I. DEFENDANT COSBY DRUGGED AND RAPED MS. DICKINSON

- 13. In or about 1982, Ms. Dickinson was in Bali, Indonesia shooting a calendar. There she received a telephone call from Defendant Cosby. On the call, he asked her to fly to Lake Tahoe, Nevada to meet with him. Defendant Cosby offered to pay for her flight from Bali to Lake Tahoe to discuss her future show business and singing career. Excited at the possibility of expanding her career to television and music, Ms. Dickinson agreed.
 - 14. After arriving in Lake Tahoe, Ms. Dickinson had dinner with Defendant Cosby.
- 15. Ms. Dickinson was suffering from menstrual pain. Defendant Cosby offered her a glass of wine and a pill which he represented would help her with cramps.

- 16. Defendant Cosby offered Ms. Dickinson a pill and a drink even though he knew that she had been in a rehab center for addiction a few months before. Defendant Cosby had sent Ms. Dickinson flowers (red roses) while she was in the rehab center.
- 17. Ms. Dickinson consumed the pill believing it was what Defendant Cosby had represented it to be, and trusting Defendant Cosby.
- 18. Defendant Cosby deceived Ms. Dickinson into consuming a narcotic that heavily sedated her.
- 19. After Defendant Cosby intentionally drugged Ms. Dickinson, later that night he sexually assaulted her, penetrating her vaginally and anally, without her consent, and leaving semen on her body.
- 20. Thereafter, Ms. Dickinson told some of her close friends about the incident, but did not tell the police due to fear of retaliation against her and her family by the Defendant, who was and is a wealthy, powerful celebrity.

II. MS. DICKINSON IS NOT PERMITTED TO DISCLOSE THE RAPE IN HER BOOK

- 21. Nearly two decades later, in or about 2001-2002, Ms. Dickinson wrote her autobiography, *No Lifeguard on Duty*. During this time period, Ms. Dickinson told her ghostwriter, Pablo Fenjves, as well as the president of Regan Books, (an imprint of Harper Collins, the publisher of her book) Judith Regan, that she had been raped by Defendant Cosby, and that she wanted to include the disclosure in her book.
- 22. Ms. Regan and Mr. Fenjves told Ms. Dickinson that she could not include her rape disclosure in the book, as Harper Collins's legal department would not permit it. Ms. Dickinson argued vehemently that the rape disclosure should be included, but the publisher would not allow it. Ms. Dickinson was forced to compromise. As a result, while the book describes Defendant Cosby as arrogant and making an inappropriate and unwelcome sexual advance to her, which, after she rebuffed him caused him to give her "the dirtiest, meanest look in the world," the book does not disclose specifically that Defendant Cosby drugged and raped Ms. Dickinson.

23. Ms. Dickinson's revelation to her ghostwriter, publisher and friends that Defendant Cosby had drugged and raped her occurred years before any other woman publicly accused Defendant Cosby of sexual assault.

In 2006, Ms. Dickinson did a radio interview with Howard Stern. There she disclosed that "Bill Cosby was the only guy I couldn't write about in the book because Harper Collins was afraid of lawsuits." Upon persistent questioning from Mr. Stern about what happened between Ms. Dickinson and Defendant Cosby, Ms. Dickinson responded, "I don't want to get near that because I don't have the shekels that you do or that Cosby does. The guy's a bad guy, let me just say that. He's not a nice guy. He preys on women who've just come out of rehab, I'll say that."

III. <u>DEFENDANT COSBY DISCUSSES HIS OBSESSION WITH DRUGGING</u> <u>WOMEN FOR SEX</u>

- 25. Over the years Defendant Cosby has expressed a lurid and obsessive fascination with drugging women in order to have sex with them. In his 1969 comedy album, "It's True! It's True!" Defendant Cosby talked about the mythical properties of the drug "Spanish Fly" which would make women feel amorous and sexually submissive. Defendant Cosby said "go to a party, see five girls standing alone, Boy, if I had a whole jug of Spanish Fly, I'd light that whole corner up over there."
- 26. Defendant Cosby told a similar version of the story extolling Spanish Fly in his 1991 book, *Childhood*. In his book, Defendant Cosby wrote a lengthy description of his search for Spanish Fly so that he could secretly drug women and then have sex with them. "Girls are "never in the mood for us," he wrote, "They need chemicals."
- 27. Defendant Cosby again brought up Spanish Fly in his 1991 interview with Larry King on CNN to promote his book. In this interview Defendant Cosby asked Larry King if he knew about Spanish Fly. Defendant Cosby said, "Spanish Fly was the thing that all boys from age 11 on up to death we will still be searching for Spanish Fly." Defendant Cosby said that he could put a pinhead sized drop of Spanish Fly in a woman's drink and she would become sexually submissive.

28. In reality, Defendant Cosby's seemingly facetious public statements about Spanish Fly revealed his penchant for drugging women and then having sex with them while they were rendered unconscious and unable to consent. Defendant Cosby's references to Spanish Fly were direct admissions of his own predatory behavior.

drugged and raped by Defendant Cosby, he has continued to brazenly joke about his criminal activity in at least one public forum. On January 8, 2015, in a stand-up performance in Ontario, Canada, Defendant Cosby asked a woman from the audience who had gotten up where she was going. She told him that she was going to get a drink. He replied: "You have to be careful about drinking around me." This comment was intended by Defendant Cosby to mock, insult, demean and humiliate Ms. Dickinson and his other accusers.

IV. MS. DICKINSON'S 2014 DISCLOSURE THAT COSBY RAPED HER

- 30. In late 2014, a large number of women publicly disclosed that they had been drugged and raped by Defendant Cosby. Many of them were publicly demeaned by Defendant Cosby and his representatives, by his fans or others. Ms. Dickinson was one of these women who made the difficult decision to reveal that she too was a Cosby victim, to lend her story and voice in support of the credibility of the other victims.
- 31. On or about November 18, 2014, Ms. Dickinson disclosed in a television interview that Defendant Cosby had drugged and raped her. At the time, she was the most high profile accuser who had spoken out publicly against Defendant Cosby.

V. TEAM COSBY GOES ON THE ATTACK AGAINST MS. DICKINSON

32. On or about November 18, 2014, the same day as Ms. Dickinson's first television interview detailing Defendant Cosby's rape of her, Defendant Cosby issued a press statement about Ms. Dickinson to the news media, including Entertainment Tonight (CBS) and BuzzFeed.com ("The November 18 Press Statement"). The November 18 Press Statement was immediately broadcast and published online, then picked up and republished by thousands of media entities worldwide, as Defendant Cosby foresaw and intended.

33.	Defendant Cosby, through his authorized attorney and agent, made the following
purported sta	stements of fact regarding Ms. Dickinson in the November 18 Press Statement:

- a. We are writing regarding your planned story regarding Janice Dickinson's new false and outlandish claims about Mr. Cosby in her recent Entertainment Tonight interview, asserting that he raped her in 1982 (the "Story").
 - b. That Story is fabricated and is an outrageous defamatory lie....
- c. Her new Story claiming that she had been sexually assaulted is a defamatory fabrication...
 - d. That never happened, just like the alleged rape never happened.
 - e. Ms. Dickinson completely fabricated the Story of alleged rape.
 - 34. Each of the purported statements of fact set forth above is false.
- 35. On or about November 19, 2014, Defendant Cosby published a second statement to the press about Ms. Dickinson, through his authorized attorney and agent ("The November 19 Press Statement") which was republished by thousands of media entities worldwide, as Defendant Cosby foresaw and intended.
- 36. Defendant Cosby made the following purported statements of fact regarding Ms. Dickinson in The November 19 Press Statement:
 - a. "Janice Dickinson's story accusing Bill Cosby of rape is a lie."
- b. "Documentary proof and Ms. Dickinson's own words show that her new story about something she now claims happened back in 1982 is a fabricated lie"
 - 37. Each of the purported statements of fact set forth above is false.
- 38. The November 18 Press Statement and the November 19 Press Statement (collectively, the "Defamatory Press Statements") and each of them were unprivileged as no litigation had been filed, threatened or contemplated by or between Ms. Dickinson and Defendant Cosby at that time. In fact, Defendant Cosby knew that any actions based on Defendant's drugging and sexual assault of Ms. Dickinson were time barred such that Ms. Dickinson could not bring an action in 2014 for Defendant Cosby's actions in drugging and raping her in 1982.

-7-

	39.	The Defamatory Press Statements and each of them had a natural tendency to
injure	Ms. Dic	kinson's reputation in the entertainment industry in which she works, and with
the pul	blic wor	ldwide.

- The Defamatory Press Statements were published by Defendant Cosby knowing 40. that they were false.
- Defendant Cosby knows that he drugged and raped Ms. Dickinson. He knew that 41. calling her rape disclosure a lie was a false statement.
- In the alternative, the Defamatory Press Statements and each of them were 42. published with reckless disregard as to their falsity.

DEFENDANT COSBY RATIFIES THE DEFAMATORY PRESS VI. STATEMENTS BY REFUSING TO RETRACT THEM

- After the Defamatory Press Statements were released, Ms. Dickinson, through 43. counsel, demanded a public retraction from Defendant Cosby in order to avoid the necessity of this litigation, and included evidence that her claims were not "new," that she wanted her rape disclosure included in her 2002 book, and other corroborating evidence proving that factual allegations in the Defamatory Press Statements were false.
- Nevertheless, Defendant Cosby failed and refused to retract his Defamatory 44. Press Statements, or any portion thereof.
- The failure and refusal of Defendant Cosby to retract the Defamatory Press 45. Statements after having been provided with evidence of their falsity constitutes actual malice and/or reckless disregard for the truth or falsity of the Defamatory Press Statements, and each of them.
- The failure and refusal of Defendant Cosby to retract the Defamatory Press 46. Statements after having been provided with evidence of their falsity constitutes acceptance, endorsement and ratification of the Defamatory Press Statements, and each of them.

26

23

24

25

27 ///

///

28 ///

BLOOM FIRM

- 47. Ms. Dickinson restates and incorporates by reference, as though fully set forth herein, the allegations contained in each of the paragraphs above.
- 48. The Defamatory Press Statements, and each of them, were false and unprivileged, were written and published with the goal of making Ms. Dickinson an object of ridicule, contempt, hatred or disgrace, and to bring her public and personal humiliation.
- 49. Defendant Cosby either knew that his statements were false or were published with reckless disregard as to their truth or falsity.
- 50. The Defamatory Press Statements and each of them, on their face tend to directly injure Ms. Dickinson in respect to her profession, trade and business by imputing dishonesty to her, effectively calling her a liar about a matter as deeply personal as having been raped.
- 51. As a direct and proximate result of the Defamatory Press Statements, and each of them, Ms. Dickinson has suffered and will suffer loss to her reputation, shame, mortification, and hurt feelings, all to her general damages.
- 52. As a further direct and proximate result of the Defamatory Press Statements, and each of them, Ms. Dickinson has suffered loss of business contracts, business engagements, celebrity show participation, and business deals and will continue to suffer loss of business, in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 53. As a result of this damage to her reputation, Ms. Dickinson's business and personal relationships have been, and will continue to be adversely affected.
- 54. By engaging in the above willful conduct with reckless disregard of truth or falsity, Defendants acted with actual malice and in reckless and conscious disregard to Ms. Dickinson's rights, entitling her to punitive damages.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (False Light)

55. Ms. Dickinson restates and incorporates by reference, as though fully set forth herein, the allegations contained in the paragraphs above.

- 56. Defendants' Defamatory Press Statements contain numerous false implications about Ms. Dickinson, including without limitation:
- (i) that her rape disclosure is "new" or that she made it in 2014 "for the first time," that is, implying that Ms. Dickinson has falsely copied other claims made publicly by other women against Defendant Cosby in 2014. In fact, as described herein above, Ms. Dickinson disclosed to disinterested third parties that Defendant Cosby raped her years before any other woman had publicly made such a claim.
- (ii) the implication that Ms. Dickinson's rape disclosure is false and that therefore she is a liar. In fact, Ms. Dickinson was drugged and raped by Defendant Cosby.
- (iii) the implication that because Ms. Dickinson did not fully disclose the rape in her 2002 book that she is now lying about her rape disclosure. In fact, Ms. Dickinson was drugged and raped by Defendant Cosby.
- 57. The unfair and inaccurate depictions of Ms. Dickinson, and the false impressions and implications created by the Defamatory Press Statements are highly offensive to a reasonable person of ordinary sensibilities in Ms. Dickinson's position.
- 58. Upon information and belief, members of the community understood that the statements were about Ms. Dickinson as the statements were about, concerning, and mentioned Ms. Dickinson expressly.
- 59. The Defamatory Press Statements, and each of them, had a natural tendency to injure Ms. Dickinson's reputation in the entertainment industry in which she works, and with the public worldwide.
- 60. The Defamatory Press Statements were published by Defendant Cosby knowing that they contained unfair and inaccurate depictions of Ms. Dickinson, and false implications that would damage Ms. Dickinson's reputation in the community.
- 61. Defendant Cosby knows that he drugged and raped Ms. Dickinson. He knew that implying that her claims were new and/or lies put Ms. Dickinson in a false light.
- 62. In the alternative, the false implications, and each of them, contained in the Defamatory Press Statements were published with reckless disregard as to their truth or falsity.

- 63. Upon information and belief, the wrongful conduct of Defendant Cosby was a substantial factor in causing Ms. Dickinson harm, including but not limited to harm to Ms. Dickinson's trade, profession, and/or occupation, and harm to Ms. Dickinson's reputation.
- 64. As a direct and proximate result of the above-described statements and depictions, Ms. Dickinson has suffered and will suffer emotional distress, loss to her reputation, shame, mortification, and hurt feelings, and has been, and continues to be, embarrassed and humiliated by the false statements and implications and reasonable fear that she will be shunned, avoided and subjected to ridicule.
- 65. Additionally, as a direct and proximate result of the above-described statements and depictions, Ms. Dickinson has suffered, and will continue to suffer, significant damage to her reputation and to her livelihood.
- 66. Defendants have acted with knowledge that their depictions of Ms. Dickinson were false or with a reckless disregard of truth or falsity. Defendants' conduct was intended by them to cause injury to Ms. Dickinson, and was despicable conduct carried on with a willful and conscious disregard of the rights and reputation of Ms. Dickinson. As such, Ms. Dickinson is entitled to recover punitive damages in an amount sufficient to punish Defendants and deter them from such conduct in the future.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION (Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress)

- 67. Ms. Dickinson restates and incorporates by reference, as though fully set forth herein, the allegations contained in the paragraphs above.
- 68. Rape is a horrific crime under any circumstances. Drugging a victim in addition to raping her makes the crime all the more egregious. Talking about having been victimized by a rapist who drugged her to unconsciousness was an intensely vulnerable and stressful experience for Ms. Dickinson. It is in this context that Defendant Cosby chose to disseminate the Defamatory Press Statements falsely telling the world that she is a liar, heaping opprobrium on his rape victim.
 - 69. Defendant Cosby's choice to vilify and publicly attack Ms. Dickinson after

she had just truthfully disclosed her experience of having been drugged and raped by him was extreme and outrageous conduct that no reasonable person in a civilized society should be expected to endure.

- 70. Defendant Cosby's choice to joke about drugging women's drinks in a comedy show after the disclosure by Ms. Dickinson and many other women that he had done so and then raped them, causing them pain, suffering and trauma, was further extreme and outrageous conduct that no reasonable person in a civilized society should be forced to endure.
- 71. In committing the intentional and outrageous acts described more fully above,
 Defendant Cosby intended to cause Ms. Dickinson severe emotional distress and/or Defendant
 Cosby acted with reckless disregard for the probability of inflicting humiliation, mental anguish,
 and severe emotional distress on Ms. Dickinson.
- 72. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendant Cosby's conduct, Ms. Dickinson has suffered and will continue to suffer humiliation, mental anguish, severe emotional distress, and other special and general damages according to proof.
- 73. The extreme and outrageous conduct of Defendants, and each of them, as alleged herein, was malicious, despicable, or oppressive in that Defendants, and each of them, acted with full knowledge of the consequences to Ms. Dickinson as alleged herein, with the intent to discredit her, harm her reputation, harass, or retaliate against Ms. Dickinson with a willful, conscious, wanton, and reckless disregard for her rights and for the deleterious consequences and cruel and unjust hardship resulting to Ms. Dickinson from the conduct of Defendants.

 Accordingly, Ms. Dickinson is entitled to punitive damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

<u>PRAYER</u>

WHEREFORE, Ms. Dickinson prays for judgment against Defendants as follows:

- 1. For general damages according to proof at trial;
- 2. For special damages according to proof at trial;
- 3. For presumed damages;
- 4. For punitive damages;

	11		
1	5.	For costs of suit incurred herein; a	and
2	6.	For such other and further relief as	s the Court deems just and proper.
3			
4		DEMAND FOR	JURY TRIAL
5	Plaintif	ff Janice Dickinson hereby demand	ls a trial by jury.
6			
7	DATED: May	, 20, 2015	Respectfully submitted,
8	B111 D5. 11.m.y	20,2010	-
9			THE BLOOM FIRM
10		Œ	sy: Usa Foor
		D	Lisa Bloom
11			Nadia Taghizadeh
12			Attorneys for Plaintiff Janice Dickinson
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

THE BLOOM FIRM COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES